Case 1:01-cv-00377-SAS-TSH Document 126 Filed 12/\(\phi\)9/2003

Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

2003 DEC -9 PM 12: 34

DAVID BILLUPS, PLAINTIFF,

-vs-

CASE NO. JUDGE SPIEGEL MAGISTRATE JUDGE HOGAN MATI

JAMES MCWEENEY, ET AL., DEFENDANTS.

> PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RULE 11 SANCTIONS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS.

Plaintiff, David Billups, moves this Court for Rule 11 Sanctions against Defendants for misleading this Court by filing "Defendant Audrey Young's Supplementary Objection to the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation", and the delays caused by the Defendants' Motions requesting to file the misleading Supplementary Objection.

In the Supplementary Objection Defendants state for the first time in over two (2) years Defendant Young had no involvement with this case. This after admitting involvement several times in prior Motions:

- 11.15.01 Answer at #7.
- 2. January 2002 - Deposition.
- 4.8.02 at page 2 & 8. 3.
- 4. 4.28.03 at page 17.

Please also see Plaintiff's Traverse in Opposition at Point I, Point II, and Point III.

Because the claims and defenses in the Supplementary Objection are frivolous and have no evidentiary support and are not warranted, Plaintiff asserts Rule 11 Sanctions are in order.

Respectfully Submitted,

David B111ups

P.O. Box 7010-211903

Chillicothe, Ohio

45601

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify a copy of the foregoing has been served to Counsel for Defendants at: Marianne Pressman#0059512, 1600 Carew Tower 441 Vine Street, Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 this day of 2003 by regular U.S. Mail.

/s/ May David Billups